An infinite class of exact static anisotropic spheres that break the Buchdal bound

Kayll Lake [*]
Department of Physics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada, K7L 3N6
(Dated: June 10, 2016)

An infinite class of exact static anisotropic spheres is developed. All members of the class satisfy (i) regularity (meaning no singularities), and in particular at the origin, (ii) positive but monotone decreasing energy density ($\rho(r)$), radial pressure ($p(r)$), and tangential pressure ($P(r)$), (iii) a finite value of $r = R$ such that $p(R) = 0$ defining the boundary surface onto vacuum, (iv) $p \leq \rho$, and (v) $p + 2P = 3\rho$. All standard energy conditions are satisfied except for the dominant energy condition which has an innocuous violation by the tangential stress since $\rho \leq P$ by construction. An infinite number of the solutions violate the Buchdal bound.

arXiv:1606.03046v1 [gr-qc] 9 Jun 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

After extensive examinations of spherically-symmetric static isotropic perfect solutions of Einstein's equations [2], there followed, rather quickly, a remarkable collection of solution generating techniques [3]. At the same time, interest in exact anisotropic fluid solutions of Einstein's equations has grown [4]. One important reason that these solutions are of interest is that they do not have to satisfy the Buchdal bound [5]. Solution generating techniques are known for anisotropic fluids [6]. These require the specification of two input functions (as opposed to one in the isotropic case), typically an "anisotropy" function for the pressure. Since *any* static spherically symmetric metric is an anisotropic fluid "solution" of Einstein's equations, it is important to clarify, at the start, what conditions are imposed on the physical parameters. Here these are:

1. Regularity (meaning no singularities), and in particular at the origin,
2. Positive but monotone decreasing energy density ($\rho(r)$), radial pressure ($p(r)$), and tangential pressure ($P(r)$),
3. A finite value of $r = R$ such that $p(R) = 0$ so as to define a boundary surface,
4. $p \leq \rho$,
5. $p + 2P = 3\rho$.

All standard energy conditions are satisfied [7] except, by construction, $\rho \leq P$ so that the tangential stress "violates" the dominant energy condition [8].

II. GEOMETRY

We start with the geometry in the standard form [9]

$$ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{1 - 2m(r)/r} + r^2 d\Omega^2 - e^{2\Phi(r)} dt^2 \qquad (1)$$

where $d\Omega^2$ is the metric of a unit 2-sphere ($d\theta^2 + sin^2\theta d\phi^2$). By way of Einstein's equations, the source of (1) is taken to be a comoving fluid described by the stress-energy tensor $T^{\alpha}_{\beta} = diag[p(r), P(r), P(r), -\rho(r)]$ where p, P and ρ are positive. From Einstein's equations we find the effective gravitational mass

$$m = 4\pi \int_0^r \rho(x) x^2 dx, \qquad (2)$$

the source equation for Φ

$$\Phi^{'} = \frac{m + 4\pi r^3 p}{r(r - 2m)}, \qquad (3)$$

and the generalized Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equation

$$P = \frac{r}{2}(p^{'} + (\rho + p)\Phi^{'}) + p, \qquad (4)$$

where $' \equiv d/dr$. The fluid distribution is assumed to terminate at finite $r = R$ where $p(R) = 0$ and to join there, by way of a boundary surface, onto a Schwarzschild vacuum of mass $m(R) \equiv M$. No further conditions need be specified for the junction.

Scalar polynomial singularities involve scalar invariants built out of the Riemann tensor. Due to the spherical symmetry assumed here, there are only four independent invariants of this type [10]. A direct calculation shows that $\rho^{'}$ and $P^{'}$ do not enter these invariants and that the Ricci invariants are regular as long as ρ, p and P are. However, the second Weyl invariant grows like

$$\left(\frac{r p^{'} + 2(p - P)}{\rho - 3p + 4P} \right) \frac{1}{r^6} \qquad (5)$$

as $r \to 0$. As a consequence, we have the following necessary conditions for regularity

$$p^{'}(0) = 0, \quad p(0) = P(0), \qquad (6)$$

the latter of which is already obvious from (4). In terms of Φ, as in the isotropic case, Φ must be a monotone increasing function of r with a regular minimum at $r = 0$.

III. THE ALGORITHM

Rather than consider an anisotropy function, here we start with the condition

$$p + 2P = 3\rho. \qquad (7)$$

As shown by Andréasson [11], with (7)

$$\sup_{r>0}\frac{2m(r)}{r}\leq\frac{48}{49}. \tag{8}$$

Solving (7) for $m(r)$ we find

$$m(r)=\frac{\int b(r)e^{\int a(r)dr}dr+\mathcal{C}}{e^{\int a(r)dr}} \tag{9}$$

where

$$a(r)\equiv\frac{3\Phi^{'}+2r(\Phi^{''}+(\Phi^{'})^2)}{r\Phi^{'}+4} \tag{10}$$

and

$$b(r)\equiv\frac{2\Phi^{'}+r(\Phi^{''}+(\Phi^{'})^2)}{r\Phi^{'}+4} \tag{11}$$

with $\mathcal{C}$ a constant. Explicit solutions are given by the functions Φ for which m can be obtained without recourse to numerical integration. However, it is important to note that the detailed structure of the solutions is most easily explored via numerical methods in individual cases.

IV. AN INFINITE SERIES OF EXAMPLES

If we take

$$\Phi(r)=\frac{1}{2}\,N\ln(1+\frac{r^2}{\alpha}), \tag{12}$$

where N is an integer ≥ 1 and α is a constant >0, it follows from (9), (10) and (11) that

$$m(r)=c(r)d(r) \tag{13}$$

where

$$c(r)=\frac{(r^2(N+4)+4\alpha)^{\frac{3N-16}{2(N+4)}}}{(r^2+\alpha)^N}(r^2+\alpha)^2, \tag{14}$$

and

$$d(r)=\int\frac{N(r^2+\alpha)^{N-3}(r^2(N+1)+3\alpha)r^2dr}{(r^2(N+4)+4\alpha)^{\frac{5N-8}{2(N+4)}}}. \tag{15}$$

It turns out that (15) can be evaluated explicitly:

$$d(r)=\frac{Nr\alpha^{N-1}}{(4\alpha)^{\frac{5N-8}{2(N+4)}}}f(r) \tag{16}$$

where

$$f(r)=(N+1)\mathcal{A}(a_1,1-N,a_2,a_3,a_4,a_5)+(1-2N)\mathcal{A}(a_1,2-N,a_2,a_3,a_4,a_5)+(N-2)\mathcal{A}(a_1,3-N,a_2,a_3,a_4,a_5), \tag{17}$$

and where $\mathcal{A}$ is the Appell hypergeometric function F1 [12] with

$$a_1=\frac{1}{2}, \tag{18}$$

$$a_2=\frac{5N-8}{2(N+4)}, \tag{19}$$

$$a_3=\frac{3}{2}, \tag{20}$$

$$a_4=-\frac{r^2}{\alpha}, \tag{21}$$

and

$$a_5=-\frac{(N+4)r^2}{4\alpha}. \tag{22}$$

Whereas one could argue that the choice (12) is *ad hoc*, the real question is whether or not the choice of (12) leads to explicit solutions that satisfy the conditions **1** through **5**. This is examined below.

A. Boundary Surfaces

It is easy to show that boundary surfaces $p(R)=0$ exist only for $N\geq 4$, and we restrict our attention to this range. Moreover it follows that

$$\frac{R}{R_B}=\frac{9R^2(1+2N)+\alpha}{4NR^2} \tag{23}$$

where R_B represents the the Buchdahl limit. For $N\geq 8$ these configurations break the Buchdahl bound (but, of course satisfy the Andréasson bound). R/R_B is most conveniently found numerically. The results are shown in Figure 1.



FIG. 1: Evolution of R/R_B (ordinate) as a function of N (abscissa) for $\alpha = 2$.

B. Interior Structure

With(12) and $N \geq 4$ the physical quantities ρ, p and P are monotonically decreasing in r, and the equation of state becomes stiff at the origin since

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{p}{\rho} \to 1. \tag{24}$$

The evolution of p, P and $(P-p)/P$ is shown in Figure 2 and the evolution of ρ, r/m and m is shown in Figure 3 for $N = 16$ and $\alpha = 2$. Figure 4 shows p/ρ.



FIG. 2: p (solid), P (dot) and $(P-p)/P$ (bottom) for $N = 16$ and $\alpha = 2$.



FIG. 3: ρ (solid), r/m (dot) and m (bottom) for $N = 16$ and $\alpha = 2$.



FIG. 4: p/ρ for $N = 16$ and $\alpha = 2$.

C. Internal Trapping

The evolution of non-radial null geodesics is governed by the potential impact parameter [13]

$$\mathcal{B}(r) \equiv \frac{r}{e^{\Phi(r)}}. \tag{25}$$

Since, in general,

$$p\big|_{\mathcal{B}'=0} = \frac{2}{r^3}\left(r - 3m(r)\right), \tag{26}$$

and since regularity requires $\mathcal{B}(0) = 0$, the solutions described here all exhibit internal photon trapping. An example is shown in Figure 5



FIG. 5: $\mathcal{B}(r)$ for $N = 16$ and $\alpha = 2$.

D. Adiabatic Sound Speed?

It is not uncommon to find

$$v_s^2 \equiv \frac{dp}{d\rho} \tag{27}$$

and to refer to v_s as the adiabatic "speed of sound". For example, in the case of the familiar Schwarzschild interior solution, $\rho = \text{const.}$ $p = P$, use of v_s would suggest an *infinite* adiabatic sound speed (the incompressible limit). Yet, even in this extreme case, it could be argued that the adiabatic sound speed is inappropriate. The distribution $\rho = \text{const.}$ may in fact model an object with a (contrived) composition variation rendering v_s meaningless as regards the speed of sound [14]. Here, from (7), we have

$$v_s^2 = 3 - 2\frac{dP}{d\rho}, \tag{28}$$

which need not be monotone. However, numerical analysis shows that v_s^2 is monotone decreasing with r for $N \geq 5$. The case $N = 4$ is shown in Figure 6.

The reliable calculation of a physically realistic sound speeds requires knowledge of parameters (like chemical concentrations, entropy density and so on) that the model considered here does not provide (see, for example Rahman and Visser in [3]).

V. DISCUSSION

An infinite class of exact explicit static anisotropic spheres that satisfy the conditions **1** through **5** have been developed. All of these solutions break the Buchdahl bound and exhibit internal photon trapping.



FIG. 6: v_s^2 for $N = 4$ and $\alpha = 2$. The range in r is 0 to $R/5$.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Portions of this work were made possible by use of *GRTensorII* [15].

[*] Electronic Address: lake@astro.queensu.ca

[2] See M. S. R. Delgaty and K. Lake Computer Physics Communications **115**, 395 (1998) [arXiv:gr-qc/9809013] and M. R. Finch and J. E. F. Skea "A reviw of the relativistic static fluid sphere", preprint (1989).

[3] See, for example, S. Rahman and M. Visser, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 935 (2002) [arXiv:gr-qc/0103065], K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 104015 [arXiv:gr-qc/0209104], D. Martin and M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 104028 [arXiv:gr-qc/0306109], P. Boonserm, M. Visser, and S. Weinfurtner, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 124037 [arXiv:gr-qc/0503007], P. Boonserm, M. Visser, and S. Weinfurtner, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 044024 [arXiv:gr-qc/0607001], P. Boonserm, "Some exact solutions in general relativity", MSc thesis, Victoria University of Wellington, 2005 [arXiv:gr-qc/0610149], P. Boonserm, M. Visser and S. Weinfurtner, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **68** (2007) 012055, [arXiv:gr-qc/0609088] and P. Boonserm, M. Visser and S. Weinfurtner, "Solution generating theorems: Perfect fluid spheres and the TOV equation", [arXiv:gr-qc/0609099] (Marcel Grossmann 11).

For a review of these developments see P. Boonserm and M. Visser, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **17**, 135 (2008) [arXiv:0707.0146v1]. For further solutions, see, for example, J. Loranger and K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 127501 (2008), [arXiv:0808.3515], C. Grenon, P. J. Elahi and K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 044028 (2008) [arXiv:0805.3329v2], and K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 127502 (2008), [arXiv:0804.3092v2].

[4] See, for example, S. S. Bayin, Phys. Rev D **26**, 1262 (1982), L. Herrera and N. O. Santos, Phys. Rep. **286**, 53 (1997), D. E. Barraco, V. H. Hamity and R. J. Gleiser, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 064003 (2003), L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, J. Martín, J. Ospino, N. O. Santos and O. Troconis, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 084026 (2004), C. Cattoen, T. Faber and M. Visser, Class. Quantum Grav. **22**, 4189 (2005), A. DeBenedictis, D. Horvat, S. Ilijic, S. Kloster and K. Viswanathan, Class. Quantum. Grav. **23**, 2303 (2006), G. Bohmer and T. Harko, Class. Quantum Grav. **23**, 6479 (2006), W. Barreto, B. Rodríguez, L. Rosales and O. Serrano, Gen. Rel. Grav. **39**, 23 (2007), M. Esculpi, M. Malaver and E. Aloma, Gen. Rel. Grav. **39**, 633 (2007), G. Bohmer and T. Harko, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **379**, 393 (2007), S. Karmakar, S. Mukherjee, R. Sharma and S. Maharaj, Pramana J. **68**, 881 (2007), H. Abreu, H. Hernández and L. A. Núñez, Class. Quantum Grav. **24**, 4631 (2007) and S. Viaggiu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **18**, 275 (2009) [arXiv:0810.2209v2], K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D **80**,064039 (2009) [arXiv:0905.3546],V. Varela, F. Rahaman, S. Ray, K. Chakraborty and M. Kalam, Phys. Rev. D **82** 044052 (2010) [arXiv:1004.2165], B. V. Ivanov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **25** 3975 (2010)[arXiv:1103.4225], B. V. Ivanov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **20** 319 (2011) [arXiv:1103.5190], P. H. Nguyen and J. F. Pedraza, Phys. Rev. D **88** 064020 (2013) [arXiv:1305.7220], P. H. Nguyen and M. Lingam, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **436** 2014 (2013) [arXiv:1307.8433], G. A. Gonzalez, A. Navarro and L. A. Nunez, [arXiv:1410.7733], D. Schubring, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 043518 (2015)[arXiv:1412.3135], P. Boonserm, T. Ngampitipan and M. Visser, International Journal of Modern Physics D (in press) [arXiv:1501.07044v3]

[5] See H.A. Buchdahl, Phys. Rev. **116** 1027 (1959), J. Guven, N. Ó. Murchadha, Phys. Rev. D **60** 084020 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9903067], and [11].

[6] See K. Lake, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 051101 (2004), [arXiv:gr-qc/0302067v5] and L. Herrera, J. Ospino and A. Di Prisco, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 027502 (2008), [arXiv:0712.0713v3].

[7] E. Poisson, *A Relativist's Toolkit* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2004).

[8] The dominant energy condition has as its motivation the idea that matter should flow along timelike (or null) world lines. The fact that P is not associated with such a flow renders the condition $\rho \leq P$ innocuous.

[9] We use geometrical units and usually designate functional dependence only on the first appearance of a function. We assume that all functions are at least C^2.

[10] K. Santosuosso, D. Pollney, N. Pelavas, P. Musgrave and K. Lake, Computer Physics Communications **115**, 381 (1998), [arXiv:gr-qc/9809012].

[11] H. Andréasson, J. Differential Equations **245**, 2243 (2008) [arXiv:gr-qc/0702137].

[12] See, for example, 16.13 "Appell Functions" in the NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions `http://dlmf.nist.gov/16.13`

[13] See, for example, M. Ishak, L. Chamandy, N. Neary and K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D **64** 024005 (2001) [arXiv:gr-qc/0007073]

[14] See, for example, C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler *Gravitation* (Freeman, San Francisco 1973).

[15] This is a package which runs within Maple. It is entirely distinct from packages distributed with Maple and must be obtained independently. The GRTensorII software and documentation is distributed freely on the World-Wide-Web from the address `http://grtensor.org`